Acta Cryst. (1972). B28, 126

The Crystal and Molecular Structure of Dimeric Dibromobis(pyridine N-oxide) copper(II), [(pyridine N-oxide)₂ CuBr₂]₂

BY A.D. MIGHELL, C.W. REIMANN AND A. SANTORO

Institute for Materials Research, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C. 20234, U.S.A.

(Received 22 March 1971)

The crystal and molecular structure of dimeric dibromobis(pyridine *N*-oxide) copper(II), $[(C_5H_5NO)_2CuBr_2]_2$, was determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction techniques. This compound crystallizes in the triclinic system with a = 10.510 (2), b = 10.883 (1), c = 11.818 (5) Å, $\alpha = 78.57$ (3), $\beta = 89.88$ (3), $\gamma = 81.17$ (1)°, space group $P\overline{1}$, $\varrho_o = 2.08$, $\varrho_c = 2.10$ g.cm⁻³ and Z - 2. The structure was solved by Patterson and electron-density Fourier techniques and refined by block-diagonal least-squares analysis to a final *R* value of 0.046 based on 4415 observed reflections. The structure consists of two centrosymmetric dimeric molecules crystallographically non-equivalent. The centers of symmetry of the two molecules are located at the origin and at the center of the unit cell. In both dimers each copper atom is coordinated by two bromine atoms, and by three oxygen atoms of which two are bridging oxygen atoms. The two dimeric molecules show significant differences in some bond distances and angles and in the relative orientation of the pyridine *N*-oxide molecules. The geometry of this complex differs markedly from that of its chloride analog, as well as from that of other members of the aromatic *N*-oxide copper(II) halide series. The magnetic properties of the bromide complex are discussed in relation to those of related compounds.

Introduction

Aromatic N-oxides form complexes with more than 40 elements (Orchin & Schmidt, 1968), including all members of the first transition series. The stereochemistry of these systems is interesting as the oxygen atom may act as a monodentate ligand, as a bridging ligand, or as both in the same structure. Consequently, monomeric, dimeric, and polymeric species may occur.

Copper(II) aromatic N-oxides have been studied extensively, not only because they form a variety of polynuclear species, but also because these complexes are paramagnetic and can be studied by electron paramagnetic resonance and by magnetic susceptibility methods. Recently, Watson (1969) summarized the magnetic properties of aromatic N-oxide copper(II) complexes in terms of 12 idealized structure types. Dimeric dibromobis(pyridine N-oxide)copper(II) has a magnetic moment which could not be interpreted on the assumption that this compound belongs to the same structure type as the chloride analog [dimeric dichlorobis(pyridine N-oxide)copper(II)]. A preliminary report (Mighell, Reimann & Santoro, 1970) shows that the structure of dimeric dibromobis(pyridine N-oxide) copper(II) differs markedly from that of the chloride complex, and does not fit the other structure types cited by Watson (1969). This paper reports the detailed structure of the bromide complex and its structural and magnetic properties in relation to those of closely related complexes.

Experimental

Single crystals of [(pyridine N-oxide)₂CuBr₂]₂ were kindly supplied by Dr R. W. Duerst. Laue symmetry

and approximate unit-cell parameters were determined from zero- and upper-level precession photographs. Precise unit-cell parameters were obtained by least-squares analysis using the 2θ angles of 37 reflections measured with a single-crystal diffractometer and Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71069$ Å). The density of the complex was measured by the flotation method in a mixture of chloroform and tetrabromomethane. Crystal data are summarized in Table 1.

Table	1. Crystal data						
for [(pyridine N-oxide) ₂ CuBr ₂] ₂							
a = 10.510 (2) Å*							
b = 10.883(1)	Space group = $P\overline{1}$						
c = 11.818(5)	Z=2						
$\alpha = 78.57 (3)^{\circ}$	$q_o = 2.08 \text{ g.cm}^{-3}$						
$\beta = 89.88$ (3)	$\rho_c = 2.10 \text{ g.cm}^{-3}$						
$\gamma = 81.17(1)$	$V = 1309 \text{ Å}^3$						
The second strand the second strand strands of the second strand strands of the second s							

Linear absorption coefficient for Mo $K\alpha$; $\mu = 82 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

* Parameters are those of the reduced cell and were refined using 37 observed 2θ values.

The crystal used for intensity measurements was ground to a sphere of radius ~0.14 mm. Data were collected on a 3-circle diffractometer, with a take-off angle of approximately 4° and a scintillation counter and pulse-height analyzer set to admit 90% of the Mo K α radiation. All unique reflections with $2\theta \le 60^\circ$ were measured by the scan method, using a scan rate of 2°/min and background settings calculated with the expression $2\theta \pm (\frac{1}{2})\Delta 2\theta$, where $\Delta 2\theta = 1.8 \times \tan \theta$ (Alexander & Smith, 1964). Also, six standard reflections were measured periodically to monitor radiation damage. The intensities of these standards decreased nearly linearly to ~80% of their initial values during data Table 2. (a) Final atomic coordinates and anisotropic thermal parameters for [(pyridien N-oxide)₂CuBr₂]₂ and (b) calculated atomic coordinates for the hydrogen atoms

(a)

The anisotropic temperature factor has the form;

 $\exp\left[-(h^2\beta_{11}+k^2\beta_{22}+l^2\beta_{33}+2hk\beta_{12}+2hl\beta_{13}+2kl\beta_{23})\right].$

Numbers in parentheses are standard deviations in the last significant digits. Hydrogen atoms are labeled with the same numbers as the carbon atoms to which they are bonded.

	x/a	y/b	z/c	β_{11}	β_{22}	β33	β_{12}	β_{13}	β_{23}
Cu(1)	0.08498 (6)	0.03964 (7)	0.10254 (6)	45.3 (6)	65.4 (6)	36.1 (5)	-4.6 (5)	2.0 (4)	- 17.9 (5)
Cu(2)	0.40118(7)	0.57661(7)	0.58753 (6)	57.2 (6)	66·2 (7)	40.3 (5)	-20.3(5)	10.5 (5)	- 18.4 (5)
Br(1)	0.00804 (6)	0.27311(5)	0.08063 (6)	75.0 (6)	53.9 (5)	67.7 (5)	-11.3(4)	9.0 (4)	- 18.7 (4)
Br(2)	0.31186 (6)	-0.03640(8)	0.12916 (6)	51.3 (5)	139.4 (9)	62.7 (5)	6.5 (5)	-3.4(4)	-20.2(6)
Br(3)	0.21565 (6)	0.47409 (7)	0·63258 (6)	79.1 (6)	94.2 (7)	68.1 (5)	-39.2(5)	16.5 (5)	-17.4(5)
Br(4)	0.43508 (7)	0.79740 (6)	0.52239(6)	111.1 (7)	55.0 (5)	67.0 (5)	- 19.9 (5)	5.2 (5)	-15.1(4)
ŌÛ	0.0808 (3)	0.0427 (4)	-0.0651(3)	42 (3)	97 (5)	41 (3)	-16 (3)	15 (3)	-13(3)
N(I)	-0.1886(4)	-0·0334 (5)	0.1295 (4)	39 (4)	74 (5)	39 (4)	-16 (3)	9 (3)	-27 (3)
C(11)	-0.2306(6)	-0·1409 (6)	0.1818 (5)	68 (6)	69 (6)	63 (5)	-15 (5)	7 (4)	-13 (5)
C(12)	-0.3366(7)	-0·1307 (7)	0.2490 (6)	89 (7)	89 (7)	64 (6)	- 42 (6)	14 (5)	-6 (5)
C(13)	-0.3973(6)	-0·0162 (7)	0.2652 (6)	56 (5)	117 (8)	56 (5)	-22 (5)	14 (4)	-22 (5)
C(14)	-0.3533 (6)	0.0939 (6)	0.2087 (6)	60 (5)	87 (6)	64 (5)	-11 (5)	12 (4)	- 32 (5)
C(15)	-0.2478(6)	0.0834 (6)	0.1391 (5)	61 (5)	71 (6)	57 (5)	-18 (4)	16 (4)	-27 (4)
O(2)	0.03817 (4)	-0.0095 (4)	0.2625(3)	90 (5)	83 (4)	41 (3)	-31 (4)	2 (3)	- 18 (3)
N(2)	0.0603 (5)	0.0619 (4)	0.3390 (4)	63 (4)	55 (4)	28 (3)	-15 (3)	0 (3)	-7 (3)
C(21)	-0·0390 (6)	0.1346 (7)	0.3760 (5)	80 (6)	90 (7)	46 (5)	4 (5)	- 10 (4)	-4 (5)
C(22)	-0.0202(8)	0.2030 (7)	0.4580 (6)	137 (9)	80 (7)	62 (6)	14 (6)	8 (6)	- 18 (6)
C(23)	0.1015 (8)	0.1972 (7)	0.5030 (6)	149 (10)	71 (6)	60 (6)	- 30 (6)	7 (6)	-23 (5)
C(24)	0.2022 (7)	0.1226 (7)	0.4633 (6)	99 (8)	111 (8)	59 (6)	-37 (6)	-12 (5)	- 20 (6)
C(25)	0.1810 (6)	0.0521 (7)	0.3823 (6)	70 (6)	115 (8)	56 (5)	- 27 (6)	-2 (4)	- 33 (5)
O(3)	0.4102 (3)	0.5453 (4)	0.4284 (3)	39 (3)	95 (5)	48 (4)	-11 (3)	2 (3)	-29 (3)
N(3)	0.3011 (4)	0.5517 (5)	0.3634 (4)	46 (4)	63 (4)	36 (3)	-10(3)	1 (3)	-2(3)
C(31)	0.2672 (6)	0·4409 (6)	0·3475 (6)	80 (6)	65 (6)	57 (5)	-15 (5)	3 (4)	-2(4)
C(32)	0.1601 (6)	0.4466 (6)	0.2790 (6)	85 (2)	89 (7)	60 (5)	-40 (5)	-2(5)	-20(5)
C(33)	0.0883 (6)	0.5619 (7)	0.2293 (6)	61 (6)	127 (8)	58 (5)	-23 (6)	-3(4)	-14(6)
C(34)	0.1284 (6)	0.6715 (7)	0·2491 (6)	74 (6)	83 (7)	80 (6)	-6 (5)	-7 (5)	-5(5)
C(35)	0.2343 (6)	0.6645 (6)	0.3184 (6)	72 (6)	65 (6)	64 (5)	-10(5)	2 (4)	-20(5)
O(4)	0.5520 (5)	0·4132 (5)	0.2554 (4)	104 (5)	113 (5)	43 (3)	- 53 (4)	18 (3)	-21(4)
N(4)	0.5861 (5)	0·4982 (5)	0.1659 (4)	71 (5)	69 (5)	33 (4)	-29(4)	15 (3)	-9(3)
C(41)	0.5146 (7)	0.6122 (8)	0.1326 (6)	77 (7)	131 (9)	68 (6)	0 (6)	21 (5)	-18(6)
C(42)	0.5477 (8)	0.6984 (8)	0.0409 (7)	105 (9)	109 (9)	90 (7)	7 (7)	6 (6)	0(7)
C(43)	0.6569 (8)	0.6659 (7)	-0·0180 (6)	110 (8)	99 (8)	69 (9)	-22 (6)	18 (6)	- 10 (6)
C(44)	0.7290 (7)	0.5492 (7)	0.0177 (7)	99 (8)	110 (8)	77 (6)	-21(6)	40 (6)	-28(6)
C(45)	0.6946 (6)	0.4665(6)	0.1108 (6)	77 (6)	84 (7)	76 (6)	-7(5)	26 (5)	- 29 (5)

Table 2 (cont.)

(b)		x/a	у/b	z/c
	H(11)	0.808	0.775	0.170
	H(12)	0.635	0.792	0.290
	H(13)	0.530	0.992	0.319
	H(14)	0.606	0.177	0.222
	H(15)	0.779	0.161	0.099
	H(21)	-0.127	0.144	0.344
	H(22)	-0.092	0.255	0.482
	H(23)	0.117	0.243	0.567
	H(24)	0.290	0.118	0-492
	H(25)	0.255	-0.002	0.356
	H(31)	0.315	0.359	0.383
	H(32)	0.137	0.367	0.262
	H(33)	0.013	0.566	0.177
	H(34)	0.082	0.753	0.210
	H(35)	0.254	0.744	0.336
	H(41)	0.436	0.633	0.172
	H(42)	0.498	0.785	0.023
	H(43)	0.677	0.728	-0.082
	H(44)	0.806	0.525	-0.022
	H(45)	0.753	0.387	0.141

collection. A total of 5458 reflections were measured; 1543 were considered unobserved. A reflection was

considered unobserved and assigned a value equal to twice the standard deviation, if the net number of counts did not exceed zero by at least twice the standard deviation. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors, for radiation damage, and for absorption (International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1967); $\mu R = 1.17$).

Determination and refinement

All the atoms in the asymmetric unit, except hydrogen atoms, were located by Patterson and Fourier methods. The structure was then refined by block-diagonal leastsquares analysis. The quantity minimized was $\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2$ with $w^{\pm} = 1$ for $F_o < 70$ (absolute scale) and $w^{\pm} = 70/F_o$ for $F_o > 70$. Hydrogen atoms were included in the structure-factor calculations in fixed calculated positions (Santoro, Mighell, Zocchi & Reimann, 1969), with an isotropic temperature factor of 4.0 Å². After each refinement cycle, hydrogen-atom positions were recalculated from the refined ring carbon-atom posi-

Table 3. Observed and calculated structure factors

Columns are k, $10F_o$, $10F_c$ respectively. Unobserved reflections are marked with an (*).

изанананы (hittutututututututututututututututututut			
 			

1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.		(14)11.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	11.0	<pre>[111111111111111111111111111111111111</pre>	111111122	
	0 100				1.1.1 1.1.1.1 1.1.1.1 1.1.1.1 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2 2.2.2	1 1

tions. Scattering factors for neutral copper, bromine, nitrogen, hydrogen, carbon, and for singly negative oxygen atoms were taken from *International Tables for*

X-ray Crystallography (1962). The F_o 's of 'unobserved' reflections were weighted zero or one, depending on whether corresponding F_c 's were less or greater than

Table 3 (cont.)

une daladal Alffamurure daladalatifasa gorune édaladalaka sour ne édaladalakifasa gorune éédaladalatifasa goru 18.5 ététététététététététététététététététét	8.85399984643 2531 KUSTARIASSTRIJSENSTRIJ 242920 2597KUSTAS 82357 F200076 2522 2522 2522 2527 61824152415 400 252 2538516124510 252 25251645 2522 25216264 2545 2522 2522 2522 2522 2522 2522		• 141411111 •••••••• 14144111.••••••••• 541441111.••••••••• 6414411111 1••••••••••••••••••		ddiddisse isense ddissertere diddissertere diddissertere juiddissertere tiddiddissertere ddiddissertere ddiddid 2222242342342542542542542542542542464542542542542542542542542542542542542542	1.000000000000000000000000000000000000	11111111	uruns lettute serve titetute serve ettutete serve ettetuten ettetuten ettetuten. 202802: seesessestestestestestesteste sestestestestestestestestestestestestest	11111 1111 1111111 1111111 1111111	1111	o lidiumo mo diemeo ilitere ditere di di cene di di cere di didicere di di di cere di di cere di di cere di di 51 454586451 4586 4585857 458516875 458516 4585 45858 45856454545 4585654545455555454555555545555555555
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	999 819 • 205 -211 353 351 517 55 517 55 87 221 -1 160 50 50 97 221 -1 160 50	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-1 205 -202 -2 210 -204 -3 224 342 -3 128 173 -7 128 173 -7 128 173 -7 128 173 -7 128 173 -7 138 173 -7 138 173 -7 349 340 -7 349 -112 -1 277 -297 -1 235 347 -1 235 347 -1 99 -55 -1 99 -51 -2 99 -13 -2 99 -13 -2 978 -908	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} ++++11\\ 0& 36^{\circ} & 37^{\circ}\\ 1& 7^{\circ}+& 3\\ 2& 79^{\circ} & 59\\ 3& 67& 103\\ 5& 437& -384\\ 5& 437& -384\\ 5& 205& 2201\\ 7& 255& 2201\\ 7& 255& 2201\\ 8& 17^{\circ} & 108\\ 9& 20^{\circ} & 181\\ 8& 17^{\circ} & 108\\ 9& 20^{\circ} & 181\\ -1& 734& -22\\ -2& 826& -827\\ -3& 126& -125\\ -5& 75& -5& -8\\ 9^{\circ}+-5& -108\\ -5& -108& -103\\ -5& -7& 246& -108\\ -5& -7& 246& -108\\ -5& -7& 246& -108\\ -5& -7& 246& -108\\ -5& -7& 246& -108\\ -5& -7& 246& -108\\ -5& -7& 246& -108\\ -5& -7& 246& -108\\ -5& -7& 246& -108\\ -5& -7& -246& -108\\ -5&$		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	H1.12 0 196 - 1 95 - 2 103 - 2 103 - 1 95 - - 2 95 - - 1 168 - - 2 153 - - 3 97 - - 3 97 - - 98 -

,

the assigned values of the F_o 's.. After refinement, the R and R_w factors:

$$R = (\sum ||F_o| - |F_c||) / (\sum |F_o|)$$

and $R_w = \{ [\sum w (|F_o| - |F_c|)^2] / [\sum w F_o^2] \}^{\frac{1}{2}}$

based on 4415 observed reflections, were 0.046 and 0.051 respectively. The standard deviation of an observation of unit weight was 1.82. A difference Fourier synthesis was calculated, based on the final coordinates. This map revealed that the highest peaks $(0.8 \text{ e.} \text{Å}^{-3})$ were near the Br atoms and no other peaks were larger than 0.35 e.Å⁻³. Final fractional coordinates, thermal parameters, and structure factors are reported in Tables 2(a), 2(b) and 3.

Description of the structure

The crystal structure of dimeric dibromobis(pyridine N-oxide)copper(II) consists of two crystallographically non-equivalent centrosymmetric dimeric molecules $[(C_5H_5NO)_2CuBr_2]_2$. The two molecules are located with their centers of symmetry at the origin (dimer I) and at the center of the unit cell (dimer II). The projection of the structure along the c axis is shown in Fig. 1. The structures of dimers I and II are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. To facilitate comparison, each dimer is drawn with its bridging plane coincident with the plane of the paper. In both dimers copper atoms are coordinated by two bridging oxygen atoms, a non-bridging oxygen

atom, and by two bromine atoms. Bond distances and angles for the two dimers are given in Table 4 and in Fig. 4.

A comparison between Figs. 2 and 3 and the data given in Table 4 shows that the two dimers, though similar, differ in some important details. Differences are observed in the configuration of the bridging planes,

in the Cu $<^{Br}_{Br}$ groups, and in the orientation of the rings relative to the bridging parallellogram. The short Cu-O distances in the two dimers agree to within one standard deviation, but the long Cu-O bond is 0.08 Å (20σ) greater in dimer II than in dimer I. Consequently the Cu-Cu distance is longer in dimer II, as the angles

Table 4. Coordination polyhedra about copper*









Fig. 1. Projection of $[(pyridine N-oxide)_2CuBr_2]_2$ down the c axis.

O(1)-Cu(1)-O(1') and O(3)-Cu(2)-O(3') are equal to within 3σ . The bromine atoms of the CuBr₂ groups lie above and below the bridging plane in both dimers, but they are more nearly equidistant from this plane in dimer II (2·11 and 2·35 Å) than in dimer I (2·41 and 1·68 Å). The Cu $< \frac{Br}{Br}$ angle is 16·1° larger, and the Cu-Br bond

distances are more nearly equal in dimer II than in dimer I. Pyridine rings of the pyridine N-oxide molecules were found by least-squares analysis to be planar to within experimental error (Table 5). The orientation of the bridging pyridine N-oxide molecules with respect to the bridging plane does not differ appreciably in the two dimers (compare Figs. 2 and 3), but the orientation of the non-bridging molecules is considerably different. To bring the non-bridging pyridine ring in dimer II into an orientation approximately equivalent to that assumed by the corresponding ring in dimer I would require a rotation of the ring of ~60° about the Cu(2)– O(4) bond. For all four independent pyridine rings, deviations of the oxygen atoms from the planes of the rings are much larger than the mean deviation of the carbon and nitrogen atoms. Observed N-O distances are longer when the oxygen atom is bridging than when it is non-bridging (1.366 vs. 1.346 Å). This difference is only about 3σ , but the fact that the infrared spectrum shows two N-O stretching frequencies (Muto & Jonassen, 1966) indicates that it is real.

Discussion

The magnetic properties of more than 100 aromatic N-oxide copper(II) complexes have been summarized recently by Watson (1969) in an effort to generalize their magnetic behavior in terms of 12 idealized structure types. Dimeric dibromobis(pyridine N-oxide)-copper(II) was cited as probably belonging to the same structure type as the chloride analog and as having a larger magnetic moment than the chloride analog. This result was unexpected, for in the 1:1 series of pyridine N-oxide complexes bromides exhibit smaller room-temperature moments.



Fig. 2. Stereo view of dimer J. This figure (as well as Fig. 3) is drawn so that the bridging plane (defined by a copper atom and two bridging oxygen atoms) is in the plane of the paper.



Fig. 3. Stereo view of dimer II.

The results reported here show that the structures of the bromide and chloride (Morrow, 1965) complexes differ significantly, even though the structural unit is a pentacoordinated dimer and the copper-copper dis-



Fig. 4. Bond angles and distances for the coordinated pyridine *N*-oxide rings in dimer I and dimer II.

tances are about the same in both cases. The principal difference between the two complexes is in the orientation of the bridging plane with respect to the Cu(halogen)₂ groups. In the chloride dimer the chlorine, copper, and bridging oxygen atoms lie approximately in a plane, and the non-bridging oxygen atoms lie above and below this plane. For the bromide dimer to fit the structure type of the chloride dimer, the plane of the copper and bridging oxygen atoms would have to be rotated $\sim 90^\circ$ with respect to the rest of the structure. This large difference shows that the bromide structure is not a minor variant of the chloride structure. The closest structural comparison can be made with the unsymmetrically bridged [(pyridine N-oxide)₂ Cu(NO₃)₂]₂ (Šćavničar & Matković, 1969). In the nitrate dimer, the coordination sphere about each copper atom is approximately a pyramid with a square base. The coordination in the base consists of two trans monodentate nitrate groups, a non-bridging oxygen atom, and a bridging oxygen atom. The square bases are connected by the long sides of the unsymmetrical bridges such that the bridging oxygen atom serves as a basal site for one copper atom and as an apical site for the other. In order for the bromide complex to fit the structure of the nitrate complex, the long side of the unsymmetrical bridge would have to be increased ~ 0.2 Å and the Cu $< \frac{Br}{Br}$ angles would have

to be increased $\sim 50^{\circ}$.

The magnetic moment of the bromide complex (1.4, Gruber, Harris, Kokot, Lenzer, Lockyer & Sinn, 1967) is intermediate between the value reported for the nitrate complex (1.88, Whymann & Hatfield, 1967) and the values reported for the chloride complex (0.46, Gruber *et al.*, 1967; and 0.63, Kato, Jonassen & Fanning, 1964). The difference in the magnetic moments of the latter two compounds is ascribed (Watson, 1969) to a different spatial relationship between adjacent interacting orbitals. Specifically, Watson assumes that the unpaired electrons in both dimers occupy primarily $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals, which are localized in the square bases about the copper ions. Because of the structural dif-

 Table 5. Distances from the least-squares plane* calculated through the six ring atoms of the pyridine ring in the pyridine N-oxide ligand

	Dime	r I			Dime	er II	
	Ring 1		Ring 2		Ring 3		Ring 4
N(11)	0.012 Å	N(21)	0.007 Å	N(31)	-0.007 Å	N(41)	−0·010 Å
C(11)	0.001	C(21)	0.001	C(31)	0.003	C(41)	0.000
C(12)	-0.013	C(22)	-0.003	C(32)	-0.001	C(42)	0.007
C(13)	0.012	$\tilde{C}(23)$	-0.003	C(33)	0.004	C(43)	-0.004
C(14)	0.001	C(24)	0.011	C(34)	-0.008	C(44)	-0.007
C(15)	-0.013	C(25)	-0.013	C(35)	0.010	C(45)	0.014
O (1)	0.065	O(21)	-0.028	O(31)	-0.020	O (41)	-0.033
O(1)	U·U65 f the plane in dir	0(21)	-0.028	0(31)	-0.030	0(41)	-005.

* Equation of the plane in direct space is:

	-	PX + QY + RZ = S	5	
1st ring:	P = 6.324	$Q = 2 \cdot 223$	R = 9.437	S = 8.490
2nd ring:	P = 2.876	$\bar{Q} = 7.318$	R = -6.818	S = -1.692
3rd ring:	P = -6.088	$\tilde{Q} = 3.889$	R = 9.609	S = 1.881
4th ring:	P = 6.338	$\tilde{Q} = 6.495$	R = 8.321	S = 8.341

ferences, the pair of copper $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals are coplanar in the chloride complex and are 'stacked' in a pair of parallel planes in the nitrate complex. In the chloride dimer, the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals share an edge (two oxygen atoms), and the overlap is larger than in the nitrate dimer. Consequently, the spin-pairing interactions are larger in the chloride dimer and the room-temperature magnetic moment is smaller than that of the nitrate.

Because of the low-symmetry environment (C_1) about the copper(II) ion in the bromide complex, an orbital description as simple as that invoked in the other two compounds cannot be given. A relationship between the magnetic properties of the bromide, chloride, and nitrate complexes can be developed indirectly by showing that the configuration of the bromide dimers is intermediate between two hypothetical structures for which it is possible to give a simple orbital description. In a fivefold coordinated copper complex, the environment about the copper atom is generally a distorted one with relatively strong bonding to four atoms in a plane (XY), and weaker bonding to the fifth atom in a Z direction roughly perpendicular to the (XY) plane (Muetterties & Schunn, 1966). The two hypothetical structures can be postulated on the basis of two different directions of the weak bonding.

In the first case, the weak bond in the Z direction is a Cu-Br bond. The bridge connecting the two copper atoms is assumed to be symmetrical with short (~ 2.0 Å) Cu-O bonds. The non-bridging oxygen atom is also tightly bound and is coplanar with the bridging parallelogram. The fourth bond in the (XY) plane is a Cu-Br bond shorter than the one in the Z direction. In this idealized structure, the copper $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals would be coplanar and would share two tightly bound oxygen atoms. From the standpoint of the relationship between the copper orbitals, this case would be the same as that in dimeric dichlorobis(pyridine N-oxide)copper(II).

In the second case, the weak bond in the Z direction is one between the copper atom and one of the bridging oxygen atoms. Therefore, the bridge between copper atoms is unsymmetrical with one Cu–O bond distance of ~2.0 Å. The (XY) plane contains two oxygen atoms *trans* to one another and two bromine atoms also *trans* to one another. From the standpoint of both the structure and the relationship between copper orbitals, this case is the same as in [(pyridine N-oxide)₂Cu(NO₃)₂]₂.

In comparing observed structural features of the bromide dimers with those of the limiting cases, we note that the bridging parallelogram is more symmetrical in dimer I than in dimer II. Consequently, dimer I should more closely resemble the first idealized structure, and dimer II the second. Table 4 and Fig. 4 show that this is actually observed, *e.g.* in dimer I the Cu–Br bond distances differ by 0.1 Å and the bromine atom closest to the copper atom lies more nearly in the plane of the three oxygen atoms. In dimer II the Cu–Br bond distances are more nearly equivalent, and the Cu $<_{Br}^{Br}$ angle has opened 16° toward a *trans* configuration. This analysis, which assumes that the bridge, Cu-Br bond distances, and Cu $<_{Br}^{Br}$ angles are related quan-

tities, shows that the observed structure may be viewed as two points on a continuum between the two idealized structures. As the relationship between copper orbitals in the two postulated structures resemble the relationship postulated for the chloride and nitrate dimers respectively, the intermediate magnetic moment of the bromide complex would appear to be a consequence of its intermediate structure type. In any case, the two crystallographically non-equivalent dimers are probably different enough to require two separate J values to describe the temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility.

Computer calculations were made with local programs, with the *Program System for X-ray Crystallography* (1967), and with the *ORTEP* plotting program (Johnson, 1965).

References

- ALEXANDER, L. E. & SMITH, G. S. (1964). Acta Cryst. 17, 1195.
- GRUBER, S. J., HARRIS, C. M., KOKOT, E., LENZER, S. L., LOCKYER, T. N. & SINN, E. (1967). *Austral. J. Chem.* 20, 2403.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962). Vol. III, pp. 201–207, Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1967).Vol. II, pp. 302–305, Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP: A Fortran Thermal-Ellipsoid Program for Crystal Structure Illustrations. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- KATO, M., JONASSEN, H. B. & FANNING, J. C. (1964). *Chem. Rev.* 64, 99.
- MIGHELL, A. D., REIMANN, C. W. & SANTORO, A. (1970). Chem. Commun. 4, 204.
- MORROW, J. C. (1965). Abstracts American Crystallographic Association, p. 34. Gatlinburg, Tennessee.
- MUETTERTIES, E. L. & SCHUNN, R. A. (1966). Quart. Rev. 20, 245.
- MUTO, Y. & JONASSEN, H. B. (1966). Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 39, 58.
- ORCHIN, M. & SCHMIDT, P. J. (1968). Coordin. Chem. Rev. 3, 345.
- Program System for X-ray Crystallography (1967). Developed at Univ. of Maryland in collaboration with Natl. Bureau of Standards and the Geological Survey.
- SANTORO, A., MIGHELL, A. D., ZOCCHI, M. & REIMANN, C. W. (1969). *Acta Cryst.* B25, 842.
- ŠćAVNIČAR, S. & MATKOVIĆ, B. (1969). Acta Cryst. B25, 2046.
- WATSON, W. H. (1969). Inorg. Chem. 8, 1879.
- WHYMANN, R. & HATFIELD, W. F. (1967). *Inorg. Chem.* 6, 1859.